FILED

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 6:19-cv-507 - ORL-40-LRH

\$59,710.00 IN U.S. CURRENCY,

Defendant.

# VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR FORFEITURE IN REM

The United States of America brings this complaint and alleges upon information and belief, in accordance with Supp'l Rule G(2), Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions, as follows:

# NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a civil action *in rem* to forfeit to the United States of America, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6), \$59,710 in currency seized from Manuel Dejesus Rodriguez on November 8, 2018 during a traffic stop in Apopka, Florida (Defendant Funds).

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over an action commenced by the United States by virtue of 28 U.S.C. § 1345 and over an action for forfeiture by virtue of 28 U.S.C. § 1355.

- 3. This Court has *in rem* jurisdiction over the Defendant Funds pursuant to:
- a. 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b)(1)(A), because pertinent acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture occurred in the Middle District of Florida; and
- b. 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b)(1)(B), because venue properly lies in the Middle District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b)(1), venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida because the acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture occurred in this district.

#### THE DEFENDANT IN REM

5. The Defendant Funds consist of \$59,710 in United States currency seized from Manuel Dejesus Rodriguez (Rodriguez) during a traffic stop in Apopka, Florida on November 8, 2018 by law enforcement officers who determined that there was probable cause to believe that the Defendant Funds constitute: (1) money furnished or intended to be furnished by a person in exchange for a controlled substance in violation of the Controlled Substances Act; (2) proceeds traceable to such an exchange; or (3) money used

or intended to be used to facilitate a violation of the Controlled Substances Act.

6. The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) took custody of the Defendant Funds, and the funds remain in the custody of the United States. As set forth in Supp'l Rule G(3)(b)(i), the Clerk of Court must issue a warrant to arrest the Defendant Funds if they are in the government's possession, custody, or control.

# **BASIS FOR FORFEITURE**

7. The Defendant Funds are subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) because they constitute: (1) money furnished or intended to be furnished by a person in exchange for a controlled substance in violation of the Controlled Substances Act; (2) proceeds traceable to such an exchange; or (3) money used or intended to be used to facilitate a violation of the Controlled Substances Act.

# **FACTS**

8. The facts and circumstances supporting the forfeiture of the Defendant Funds have been provided by DEA Task Force Officer Daniel G. Moran. Officer Moran is employed by the Longwood Police Department, in Seminole County, Florida, as a duly sworn police officer and has been so

employed since April 1995. Officer Moran has been sworn as a DEA Task

Force Officer since 2008 and has conducted hundreds of drug related criminal investigations.

- 9. Prior to November 8, 2018, an individual who wishes to remain anonymous advised law enforcement that Rodriguez was traveling back-and-forth to Puerto Rico to purchase kilogram quantities of cocaine for distribution in central Florida.
- 10. On November 8, 2018, the individual who wishes to remain anonymous told law enforcement that Rodriguez: (1) was in possession of a large amount of currency in the trunk of the black Nissan Altima that Rodriguez was driving; (2) was afraid to leave the money anywhere, so he traveled with the currency and kept it in the trunk of his car while working at Hippy's Used Auto Parts; and (3) would be leaving work that day between 5:00 PM and 6:00 PM.
- 11. At approximately 6:07 PM, members of the Orange County
  Sheriff's Office conducted a traffic stop of Rodriguez, who was driving a black
  Nissan Altima, in Apopka, Florida.
- 12. While deputies were conducting the traffic stop, a properly trained narcotics detection dog conducted an open air sniff of the exterior of

the black Nissan Altima and alerted to the odor of narcotics.

- 13. After the dog alerted to the odor of narcotics, officers searched the Altima.
- 14. During the search, officers located a plastic bag in the trunk of the vehicle that contained a large amount of U.S. currency. The currency was separated into several bundles banded with different colored rubber bands. *See below*.



Individuals who handle controlled substances often get traces of the substances on their hands and clothing. These trace amounts can easily be spread to other items the individual touches such as currency. A positive alert by a properly trained narcotics detection dog indicates the presence of a

controlled substance, although there may only be trace amounts of the controlled substance.

- 15. Rodriguez told deputies that the funds were business earnings of Hippy's Used Auto Parts. Rodriguez also stated that he and his father were supposed to use the currency to buy 10 cars that day, but that their plans fell through.
- 16. Additional currency was found on Rodriguez himself including a large wad of currency that was wrapped in colored rubber bands.
- 17. The currency from the trunk of the vehicle that was banded in rubber bands and the similarly banded currency on Rodriguez was seized by DEA Task Force Officer Moran. In total, Officer Moran seized \$59,710 in currency.
- 18. After Rodriguez learned that the currency was being seized, he made the following statements:
  - Fuck this shit, I'm getting back in the dope game now.
  - I'm going straight back in the dope game now.
  - He (Officer Moran) knows me back when *I was in the dope game*. Back *when I was in the dope game*, 06, 07, 08. *Forty bricks a fucking week*. That was back then. I never had my drug charges cocaine. *Forty bricks a fucking week*. I been stopped all that shit.
  - I don't even want that money, I don't want nothing. Just keep it

- all. Tell'em keep it all. Keep it all Dan (Officer Moran), don't even worry about it.
- I'll buy me a *couple of bricks* this week. I'll tell them to front me a *couple of bricks* this week. I'll have that money back by next week.
- I'm gonna send you (Officer Moran) a picture and tell ya I got my money back now.
- You (Officer Moran) already know I will. You already know that.
- 19. On November 9, 2018, Rodriguez called Task Force Officer

  Moran and gave a different explanation for the source of the Defendant Funds

   claiming that the currency seized was actually from the sale of a house that his father recently sold.
- 20. Records from the Florida Department of Labor and Employment indicate no reported income for Rodriguez for the past five years.

#### **CONCLUSION**

21. As required by Supp'l Rule G(2)(f), the facts set forth herein support a reasonable belief that the government will be able to meet its burden of proof at trial. Specifically, probable cause exists to believe that the Defendant Funds constitute: (1) money furnished or intended to be furnished by a person in exchange for a controlled substance in violation of the Controlled Substances Act; (2) proceeds traceable to such an exchange; or (3) money used or intended to be used to facilitate a violation of the Controlled

Substances Act and are therefore subject to forfeiture pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6).

WHEREFORE, pursuant to Supp'l Rule G, Plaintiff United States of America respectfully requests that process of forfeiture be issued against the Defendant Funds; that due notice be given to all interested parties to appear and show cause why the forfeiture should not be decreed; that the Defendant Funds be forfeited to the United States for disposition according to law; and that the United States have such other and further relief as this case may require.

Dated: March 14th, 2019 Respectfully submitted,

MARIA CHAPA LOPEZ United States Attorney

By:

JAMES A. MUENCH

Assistant United States Attorney

Florida Bar No. 472867

400 North Tampa Street, Suite 3200

Tampa, Florida 33602

Telephone: (813) 274-6000 Facsimile: (813) 274-6220

E-mail: james.muench2@usdoj.gov

#### VERIFICATION

I, Daniel G. Moran, hereby verify and declare under penalty of perjury, that I am a Task Force Officer with the Drug Enforcement Administration, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint for Forfeiture *in Rem* and know the contents thereof, and that the matters contained in the Verified Complaint are true to my own knowledge and belief.

The sources of my knowledge and information and the grounds of my belief are the official files and records of the United States, information supplied to me by other law enforcement officers, as well as my investigation of this case together with DEA Special Agents, Task Force Officers, and other law enforcement officers.

I hereby verify and declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 15th day of March, 2019.

Daniel G. Moran

Task Force Officer

Drug Enforcement Administration

# 

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	HONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	· IIIS FO		i.				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				\$59,710.00 in Unit	ed States	Currency			
United States of America	į								
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Orange  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place on "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box t	for Plainti
✓ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF	Incorporated or Proof Business In T	and One Box for incipal Place		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State	2 🗆 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		<b>5</b>	□ 5
				Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country					
IV. NATURE OF SUIT							I ominin	om i muim	me l
CONTRACT    110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise    REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 46dical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  385 Property Damage  9 385 Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Othe  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	TY	DEFEITURE/PENALTY  5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881  0 Other  LABOR  0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	422 Apper   423 With 28 US   423 With 28 US   424 Copys   830 Patent   840 Trade   861 HIA (	SC 157  ETY RIGHTS rights t mark  SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) Z/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g))  LTAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff fendant)	375 False C   376 Qui Tar 3729(a   400 State R, 410 Antitrus 430 Banks a   450 Comme   460 Deporta 470 Rackete Corrupt   480 Consum   490 Cable/S   850 Securiti Exchan   890 Other S   891 Agricul   893 Environ   895 Freedon Act   896 Arbitrat   899 Admini Act/Rev	m (31 USC) )) eapportion st und Bankir erce tition organizat ner Credit at TV es/Commo age tatutory A tural Acts amental M n of Inforr strative Pr riew or Ap Decision utionality of	nment ng need and tions odities/ actions latters mation
	noved from 3 te Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 21 U.S.C. 881(a)( Brief description of ca proceeds traceab	Appellate Court tute under which you are (6)	used or		utes unless div		of 21 U.S.C.		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2					JRY DEMAND:		XNo	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	Г NUMBER			
DATE	James A. Muench, AUSA								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT.	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		